## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITGATION	MDL No. 2741 Case No. 16-md-02741-VC
This document relates to:  Hardeman v. Monsanto, 3:16-cv-00525-VC	PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 137: FINAL PHASE 2 VERDICT FORM
After you have reached your verdict, ple	ase fill out this form. After you have completed
the form, let the Courtroom Deputy know that y	ou have reached a verdict, without saying what
the verdict is. The presiding juror should keep the	ne form and bring it into the courtroom so that
the jury may announce the verdict.	
Question 1: Did Mr. Hardeman prove by a preparation of the Roundup's design was defective? See Instruction Yes: No:	
Question 2: Did Mr. Hardeman prove by a prep	onderance of the evidence his claim that
Roundup lacked sufficient warnings of the risk	of NHL? See Instruction Number 12.
Yes: No:	
Question 3: Did Mr. Hardeman prove by a prep	onderance of the evidence his claim that
Monsanto was negligent by not using reasonable Instruction Number 13.	e care to warn about Roundup's NHL risk? See
Yes: No:	

If you answered "no" to all three questions above, please stop, sign the verdict form, and inform the Courtroom Deputy that you have reached a verdict. If you answered "yes" to one or more of the questions above, then please continue.

Past economic loss:	\$ <u>200,967.10</u>
Past noneconomic loss:	\$
Future noneconomic loss:	\$
Question 5: Did Mr. Hardeman prov punitive damages? See Instruction N	we by clear and convincing evidence that he is entitled to fumber 17.
Yes: No:	
<b>Question 6:</b> If you answered "yes" to	o the previous question, what amount do you award Mr.
Hardeman in punitive damages?	
\$	
Dated:	
	Presiding Juror