| 1 | | |
|--|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 5 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 6 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CHER ORIVIN | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | IN RE: VOLKSWAGEN "CLEAN DIESEL" MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION | MDL No. 2672 CRB (JSC) |
| 10 | | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$ | | PRETRIAL ORDER NO. [22]: ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS TO |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 11\\12 \end{bmatrix}$ | This Order Relates to: | REMAND |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}$ | ALL ACTIONS (except securities actions) | Hon. Charles R. Breyer |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}$ | WHEDEAS on April 4 2016 the Count | t antoned Dustriel Orden No. 17. Orden Desconding |
| 15 | WHEREAS, on April 4, 2016, the Court entered Pretrial Order No. 17: Order Regardin Motions to Remand (ECF No. 1390) ("PTO 17"), in which the Court permitted any plaintiff whos | |
| 16 | action has been transferred to, or removed to, this Court, and who desires to do so, to file a motion t | |
| 17 | remand beginning ninety (90) days after the entry of PTO 17; | |
| 18 | WHEREAS, since then, Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel has filed the Consumer Class Actio | |
| 19 | Settlement Agreement and Release (ECF No. 1606) ("Class Action Settlement Agreement") and | |
| 20 | Motion in Support of Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement Agreement (ECF No. | |
| 21 | 1609); | |
| 22 | WHEREAS, the Court will hold a hearing to consider whether to preliminarily approve th | |
| 23 | terms of the settlement described in the Class Action Settlement Agreement on July 26, 2016; | |
| 24 | WHEREAS, the Class Action Settlement Agreement proposes a final approval hearing i | |
| 25 | October 2016; | |
| 26 | WHEREAS, actions continue to be removed to, or transferred to, this Court for coordinated or | |
| 27 | consolidated pretrial proceedings, which are or may be subject to potential motions to remand; and | |
| 28 | -1- | |
| | | - |

Case 3:15-md-02672-CRB Document 1643 Filed 07/07/16 Page 2 of 2

WHEREAS, in the interest of judicial efficiency, and so that members of the putative settlement class can consider the terms of the Class Action Settlement Agreement, any motions to remand for actions that have been transferred to, or removed to, this Court should be considered and addressed in a coordinated and orderly fashion after the final approval hearing regarding the Class Action Settlement Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Court hereby orders as follows:

The Court will set a schedule for briefing motions to remand after the date of the final approval hearing regarding the Class Action Settlement Agreement. Any previously filed motions to remand remain stayed pending further Order of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 6, 2016

CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge