

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2913

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –6)**

On October 2, 2019, the Panel transferred 5 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* \_F.Supp.3d\_ (J.P.M.L. 2019). Since that time, 52 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick, III.


It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Orrick.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of October 2, 2019, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick, III.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7–day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:



John W. Nichols  
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2913

**SCHEDULE CTO-6 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARIZONA			
AZ	4	19-00504	Tucson Unified School District v. Juul Labs Incorporated
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	2	19-14396	Yacobellis v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al
GEORGIA NORTHERN			
GAN	1	19-04566	Young v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
GAN	1	19-04642	Long v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
ILLINOIS CENTRAL			
ILC	3	19-03245	Malloy v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	1	19-06768	Bruce et al v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
INDIANA SOUTHERN			
INS	1	19-04226	MALASTO et al v. JUUL LABS, INC. et al
KANSAS			
KS	2	19-02631	USD 232 De Soto v. JUUL Labs, Inc.
KS	2	19-02632	Bennet v. JUUL Labs, Inc.
KS	2	19-02634	James Hernandez v. JUUL Labs, Inc.
KS	2	19-02635	Candace Stephens v. JUUL Labs, Inc.
MICHIGAN EASTERN			
MIE	2	19-13014	Stockwell et al v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al

MISSOURI EASTERN

MOE 4 19-02775 Dillon v. JUUL Labs, Inc.

MISSOURI WESTERN

MOW 4 19-00832 Rhodus v. Juul Labs, Inc.

NEW YORK EASTERN

NYE 2 19-05771 Mashi v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et. al.

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE 2 19-04828 LAPLANTE v. JUUL LABS, INC. et al

TENNESSEE MIDDLE

TNM 3 19-00904 Rousseau v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al

TEXAS WESTERN

TXW 6 19-00591 Hanzlovic et al v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al

WASHINGTON WESTERN

WAW 3 19-05975 Rosell et al v. JUUL Labs Inc et al